

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7812	
10/809,823	03/25/2004	Frank Petrus Nicolaas Roet	0470-043794		
75	90 10/05/2005	EXAMINER			
William H. Lo	_	BOLES, DEREK			
WEBB ZIESEN 700 Koppers Bu	VHEIM LOGSDON ORK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
436 Seventh Avenue			3749		
Pittsburgh, PA	15219-1818			_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/809,8	23	ROET ET AL.	
		Examine	г	Art Unit	
		Derek S.	Boles	3749	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nunication. atutory period will apply and v will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tin vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊠ This action is l for allowance excep	non-final. t for formal matters, pro		nerits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>9-22</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>9-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 20</u> . Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	<u>04</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Ser	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/6/04</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9, 12, 14-18, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carruthers (4,248,378). See fig. 2, element 25 and col. 3, lines 10-32.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Regarding claim 10, Carruthers discloses all of the limitations of the claim except for various placements of the pump and the vent pipe. However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Carruthers.

Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carruthers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide multiple vent pipes, since it has been held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance

Art Unit: 3749

unless a new and unexpected result is produced. In *re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Regarding claims 19 and 20, Carruthers discloses all of the limitations of the claim except for the discharge of the vent pipe is either above or below the cold water reservoir.

However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Carruthers.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-949-11-free).

D.S.B.

DEREKS. BOLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

10/3/05